

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE
LETTER OF FINDINGS NUMBER: 02-0114 AGI
ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME TAX
FOR TAX PERIODS: 2000**

NOTICE: Under IC 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

Issues

Adjusted Gross Income Tax: Imposition

Authority: IC 6-3-2-1(a), IC 6-3-1-12, IC 6-8.1-5-1(b), *State Election Board v. Evan Bayh*, 521 N.E.2d 1212, (Ind. 1988).

Taxpayer protests the imposition of the adjusted gross income tax.

Statement of Facts

The taxpayer worked and lived in Florida during the tax year 2000. The Indiana Department of Revenue, hereinafter referred to as the "department," assessed Indiana adjusted gross income tax for that year. The taxpayer protested the assessment and a hearing was held. Further facts will be provided as necessary.

Adjusted Gross Income Tax: Imposition

Discussion

Indiana imposes an adjusted gross income tax pursuant to the following provisions of IC 6-3-2-1 (a):

Each taxable year, a tax at the rate of three and four-tenths percent (3.4%) of adjusted gross income is imposed upon the adjusted gross income of every resident person, and on that part of the adjusted gross income derived from sources within Indiana of every nonresident person.

The department assessed adjusted gross income tax on the taxpayer's income as an Indiana resident. The taxpayer contends that he earned the income as a nonresident of Indiana and is not subject to the imposition of the tax. The issue to be determined is whether or not the taxpayer was an Indiana resident for purposes of Indiana adjusted gross income taxation during the 2000 tax year.

For purposes of adjusted gross income tax, IC 6-3-1-12 defines the term "resident" as "any individual who was domiciled in this state during the taxable year." In accordance with this definition, the taxpayer would be considered an Indiana resident and subject to tax on income earned during the period when he was domiciled in Indiana.

Indiana tax assessments are presumed to be correct and taxpayers bear the burden of proving that any particular assessment is incorrect. IC 6-8.1-5-1 (b).

The Indiana Supreme Court considered the issue of the meaning of domicile in *State Election Board v. Evan Bayh*, 521 N.E.2d 1212, (Ind. 1988). In that case, Mr. Bayh desired to run for governor of the state. Pursuant to public discussion concerning whether Mr. Bayh met the residency requirements for governor, Mr. Bayh sought a declaratory judgment determining that he met the residency requirement. The Indiana Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's decision that the standard for residency was whether or not Mr. Bayh had an Indiana domicile. It also affirmed that Mr. Bayh was domiciled in Indiana.

Domicile in Indiana is defined as "the place where a person has his true, fixed, permanent home and principal establishment, and to which place he has, whenever he is absent, the intention of returning." *State Election Board* at page 1317. Once established, a person's domicile is presumed to continue until the person's actions provide adequate evidence that along with moving to another jurisdiction, the person intends to establish a domicile in the new residence. Whether or not the person has successfully established a new domicile is a question of fact to be determined by the trier of fact. *Id.* at page 1317. Some of the facts considered were that Mr. Bayh paid in-state tuition at Indiana University, out-of-state tuition at the University of Virginia law school and voted in the elections in Vigo County, Indiana. He also registered for the draft from Indiana. The Supreme Court considered these acts adequate evidence to prove that Mr. Bayh intended to return to Indiana and retained his Indiana domicile even though he had lived outside the state for several years.

The taxpayer agrees that he was domiciled in Indiana prior to his move to Florida. The taxpayer began his Florida job and moved to Florida in August, 1999. Throughout 2000, the taxpayer maintained his parent's Indiana address as his principal address. He also used the Indiana address on his Indiana adjusted gross income tax, federal adjusted gross income tax and Florida intangibles tax returns. The W-2 Wage and Tax Statements issued by the taxpayer's employer indicate the taxpayer's Indiana address. Three months after his move to Florida, the taxpayer

renewed his Indiana driver's license in November, 1999. He did not obtain a Florida driver's license until September 8, 2001. The taxpayer did not own an automobile, so he was not required to register a vehicle in either state. The taxpayer did not register to vote in either state until his September 8, 2001 registration in the state of Florida. Since the taxpayer owned no real estate, he did not pay any real estate taxes or file a homestead exemption in either state. The taxpayer's parents submitted an affidavit indicating that the taxpayer did not live in Indiana during 2000, the taxpayer did not own property in Indiana during 2000, and that they considered the taxpayer a resident of Florida rather than Indiana during 2000.

During the year 2000, the totality of the taxpayer's actions and failures to act do not clearly evidence that taxpayer intended to change his domicile to Florida.

The taxpayer did not meet his burden of proving that he changed his domicile from Indiana to Florida prior to the tax year 2000.

Finding

The taxpayer's protest is denied.